FILED PLACER COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

OCT 1 3, 2002

EXECUTIVE OFFICER & CLERK

ASTOM
Deport

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THE CITY OF AUBURN; WILLIAM BATTERMAN; GARY BATTERMAN; LESLIE BATTERMAN; AMERICAN HONDA MOTOR COMPANY, INC.; HONDA AUTOMOBILE DIVISION; AUBURN HONDA; DRYDEN J. WILSON; and DOES 1-XXX, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. SCV9736

ORDER VACATING AND NULLIFYING ORDER FILED OCTOBER 3, 2002

DEPT:

JUDGE: GARBOLINO

Assigned for All

Purposes

ACTION FILED: FEBRUARY 28, 2000

TRIAL DATE: JULY 9, 2002

WHEREAS, the Honda defendants have moved this Court to reconsider its order of October 3, 2002,

WHEREAS, this Court has agreed to reconsider its order filed October 3, 2002;

WHEREAS this Court has the authority to modify, vacate and seal its October 3, 2002 order;

LA 157739

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

WHEREAS, the Court's order or October 3, 2002 is not a final order of this Court.

THEREFORE, it is hereby ordered as follows:

- A. This Court's order filed October 3, 2002, is vacated, rescinded, and ordered to be null and void and of no effect.
- B. The Court's October 3, 2002, order is an interlocutory order of the Court which was subject to review, reconsideration and modification by the Court. Defendants' motion for reconsideration argues that there are other fact and legal issues, not before the Court as of the date of the order, but which relate to the factual and legal findings in the Court's interlocutory order.
- C. The Court's vacated order will be sealed and will remain sealed except upon further order of this Court.
- D. Because the October 3, 2002, order has been vacated and sealed, no party, attorney for any party, or expert or consultant for any party is permitted to testify about the vacated order or any of its contents.
- F. Further, plaintiff has advised the Court that she withdraws her motion that was the basis for the Court's October 3, 2002, order. No inference whatsoever is to be drawn about the reasons for plaintiff's withdrawing her motion and the allegations that led to the vacated order.
- G. The vacated order cannot be cited as a decision of this Court or relied upon or referred to for any purpose by any person or entity in any action or legal proceeding within or outside the State of California.

- H. The Court's vacated order, as well as any portion, contents or aspect thereof, shall not be deemed or construed as precedent or law of the case, or be construed as resignate or collateral estoppel, in any other action, matter or proceeding, whether within or outside of the State of California.
- I. All copies of the vacated order, and any portions or excerpts thereof, are permanently sealed by order of the Court and all recipients of this order shall destroy such copies of the vacated order, and any portions or excerpts thereof, in their possession or under their control.
- J. If any party has provided the vacated order to any retained expert or consultant, the party is directed to obtain and destroy those copies and to advise those experts that the vacated order is null and void and is not to be cited by them for any purpose whatsoever. Further, plaintiff shall obtain an affidavit of compliance from each outside expert and consultant who has received a copy of the vacated order.
- K. All parties shall destroy all copies of the vacated order and any portions or excerpts thereof, in their possession or under their control.
- L. Publication of the vacated order or any citation of the vacated order, or any portion or excepts thereof, to any Court or any party from and after the effective date of this order, is prohibited and shall be reportable to this Court.
- M. It is the intent of this Court that this order shall be entitled to full faith and credit to the United States Constitution, in that any Court reviewing this order is

requested to implement and enforce the requirements herein as a matter of comity between courts.

N. The parties shall immediately provide a copy of this order to all known recipients of the vacated order.

DATED in Roseville, California, October 10,/2002.

Judge James D. Garbolino

Page 4 of 5